2008 Consumer Confidence Report

Water System Name: MD-33, Fairmead Report Date: 6/5/09

We test the drinking water quality for many constituents as required by state and federal regulations. This report shows the results of our monitoring for the period of January 1 - December 31, 2008.

Este informe contiene información muy importante sobre su agua potable. Tradúzcalo ó hable con alguien que lo entienda bien.

Type of water source(s) in use: Two wells drawing water from an underground aquifer

Name & location of source(s): Wells 1 & 2 are both located within the Fairmead Maintenance District

Drinking Water Source Assessment information: A source water assessment was conducted for both Fairmead wells in March 2002. While no contaminants exceeding current MCLs were found, the assessment identified septic systems in the area, as well as the Madera County Landfill, located about two miles away as having potential for outside contamination. A copy of the complete assessment may be viewed at the Madera County Environmental Health Department or by visiting the State's website, www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/ddwem/technical/dwp/source_info/source_index.htm

Time and place of regularly scheduled board meetings for public participation: Meetings are held at 9:00 a.m. each Tuesday, except the fifth Tuesday of any month, at the Board of Supervisors Chambers: 200 W 4th Street, Madera Visit the County website, www.madera-county.com/supervisors/agenda.html for a copy of the agenda.

For more information, contact: Julio Padilla Phone: (559) 675-7817

TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT:

Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL): The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. Primary MCLs are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

Public Health Goal (PHG): The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Environmental Protection Agency.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment that may not be exceeded at the consumer's tap.

Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a disinfectant added for water treatment below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Primary Drinking Water Standards (PDWS): MCLs and MRDLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements, and water treatment requirements.

Secondary Drinking Water Standards (SDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the MCL levels.

Treatment Technique (TT): A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.

Regulatory Action Level (AL): The concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements that a water system must follow.

Variances and Exemptions: Department permission to exceed an MCL or not comply with a treatment technique under certain conditions.

ND: not detectable at testing limit

ppm: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L)

ppb: parts per billion or micrograms per liter (ug/L)

ppt: parts per trillion or nanograms per liter (ng/L)

pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation)

The sources of drinking water (both tap water and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally-occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.

Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

- Microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria, that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife:
- *Inorganic contaminants*, such as salts and metals, that can be naturally-occurring or result from urban stormwater runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.
- Pesticides and herbicides, that may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban stormwater runoff, and residential uses.
- Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, that are byproducts of industrial
 processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban stormwater runoff, agricultural
 application, and septic systems.
- Radioactive contaminants, that can be naturally-occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the USEPA and the state Department of Public Health (Department) prescribe regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. Department regulations also establish limits for contaminants in bottled water that provide the same protection for public health.

Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 list all of the drinking water contaminants that were detected during the most recent sampling for the constituent. The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. The Department allows us to monitor for certain contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. Some of the data, though representative of the water quality, are more than one year old.

Microbiological Contaminants (to be completed only if there was a detection of bacteria)	Highest No. of detections	No. of months in violation	MCL		MCLG	Typical Source of Bacteria
Total Coliform Bacteria	(In a Mo.) 0	0	More than 1 sample in a month with a detection		0	Naturally present in the environment
Fecal Coliform or E. coli	(In the year)	0	A routine sample and a repeat sample detect total coliform and either sample also detects fecal coliform or <i>E. coli</i>		0	Human and animal fecal waste
TABLE 2	- SAMPLIN	G RESULT	rs showing	THE DETEC	CTION OF	LEAD AND COPPER
Lead and Copper	No. of	90 th	No. sites	AL	PHG	Typical Source of Contaminant
(to be completed only if there was a detection of lead or copper in the last sample set)	samples collected	percentile level detected	exceeding AL	:		
(to be completed only if there was a detection of lead or copper		level	exceeding AL	15	2	Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems; discharges from industrial manufacturers; erosion of nature deposits

TABLE 3 - SAMPLING RESULTS FOR SODIUM AND HARDNESS						
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	.		MCL PHG (MCLG)		Typical Source of Contaminant
Sodium (ppm)	5 & 10/08	17.9	15.8 - 22.8	none	none	Generally found in ground & surface water
Hardness (ppm)	5 & 10/08	88	79.2 - 96	none	none	Generally found in ground & surface water

^{*}Any violation of an MCL or AL is marked with an asterisk. Additional information regarding the violation is provided later in this report.

TABLE 4 - DETECTION OF CONTAMINANTS WITH A PRIMARY DRINKING WATER STANDARD						
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL [MRDL]	PHG (MCLG) [MRDLG]	Typical Source of Contaminant
Arsenic (ppb)	10/08	2.3	<2 - 2.3	10	.004	Erosion of natural deposits; runoff from orchards; glass & electronics production wastes
Barium (ppm)	5 & 10/08	0.14	0.12 - 0.14	1	2	Discharge of oil drilling wastes and from metal refineries; erosion of natural deposits
Cadmium (ppb)	10/08	2.3	<1-2.3	5	0.04	Internal corrosion of galvanized pipes; erosion of natural deposits; discharge from electroplating and industrial chemical factories, and metal refineries
Chromium (ppb)	5 & 10/08	21.6	8.5 – 43.5	50	(100)	Discharge from steel & pulp mills and chrome plating; erosion of natural deposits
Fluoride (ppm)	5 & 10/08	0.1	0.1	2.0	l	Erosion from natural deposits; water additive which promotes strong teeth; discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories
Nitrate (ppm)	5 & 10/08	15.5	12.5 – 19.2	45	45	Runoff & leaching from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage; erosion of natural deposits
Trihalomethanes (ppb)	5/08	18.9	18.9	80	N/A	By-product of drinking water chlorination

Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Level Detected	Range of Detections	MCL 200	PHG (MCLG)	Erosion of natural deposits; residual from some surface water treatment processes
Aluminum (ppb)	5 & 10/08	163.2				
Chloride (ppm)	5 & 10/08	28.9	26.3 – 30.7	500	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; seawater influence
Iron (ppb)	5 & 10/08	3930*	<100-10200	300	N/A	Leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes
Manganese (ppb)	5 & 10/08	44.9	<20 – 56	50	N/A	Leaching from natural deposits
Specific Conductance (µMHO/cm)	3, 5 & 10/08	263.3	200 – 320	1600	N/A	Substances that form ions when in water seawater influence
Sulfate (ppm)	5 & 10/08	3.8	3-4.6	500	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes
Total Dissolved Solids (ppm)	5 & 10/08	202.5	190 – 210	1000	N/A	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits
Turbidity (Units)	5 & 10/08	29.1*	0.4 – 95	5	N/A	Soil runoff
Zinc (ppm)	5 & 10/08	0.8	<.05 – 1.5	5.0	.05	Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes

2008 SWS CCR Form Revised Jan 2009

TABLE 6 - DETECTION OF UNREGULATED CONTAMINANTS						
Chemical or Constituent (and reporting units)	Sample Date	Level Detected	Notification Level	Health Effects Language		
Vanadium (ppb)	5/04	21	50	The babies of some women who drink water containing vanadium in excess of the notification level may have an increased risk of developmental effects, based on studies in laboratory animals		
Chromium VI or Hexavalent Chromium (ppb)	2 & 8/03	4.77	N/A	N/A		

Any violation of an MCL, MRDL, or TT is asterisked. Additional information regarding the violation is provided later in this report.

Additional General Information on Drinking Water

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their health care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by *Cryptosporidium* and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791

Summary Information for Contaminants Exceeding an MCL, MRDL, or AL, or a Violation of Any Treatment Technique or Monitoring and Reporting Requirement

We are required by drinking water regulations to *monitor* your drinking water for specific contaminants on a regular basis. The results of regular monitoring are indicators of whether or not your drinking water meets all health standards. As shown by the tables, the Fairmead system had two secondary standards violations. *Iron was found at a level exceeding the MCL of 300 ppb, The Iron MCL was set to protect you against unpleasant aesthetic effects (e.g., color, taste, and odor) and the staining of plumbing fixtures (e.g., tubs and sinks), and clothing while washing. The high levels are due to leaching of natural deposits and soil run-offs. *Turbidity exceeded the 5 units MCL and caused by the oxidation of iron in the water. Violation of secondary MCLs do not pose a risk to public health and communities may choose whether or not to treat for them.

As shown by the above tables, the Fairmead water system had no primary violations. We're proud that your drinking water meets or exceeds all Federal and State requirements. Though we've learned through our monitoring and testing that some contaminants have been detected, the EPA has determined that your water IS SAFE at these levels.

In January 2008, both wells failed causing a water system outage. The county has sought funding to improve your water system. In February 2009, Madera County on your district's behalf applied for Federal Stimulus/Infrastructure Funds. Unfortunately none of those funds were awarded to your district. However, a Community Development Block Grant was obtained, which will provide funding for improvement including a new water tank, pipeline and possibly a well. The improvement project is scheduled to start the summer of 2009. We will be meeting with and working with community members and their representatives implement these improvements. Community participation is always important as options and associated rates or assessments' will be discussed.

We hope you find this report informative and helpful. Please call our office if you have questions. The County of Madera works continuously to provide the best available water to every tap. We ask that you, our customers, help us protect our water resources. With the continued drop of the water table due to a third year of drought, your system will have less water than in past years which makes conservation practices are extremely important this year. Water is the heart of our community, our way of life and our future.

2008 SWS CCR Form Revised Jan 2009